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ABSTRACT
The proposed Access Roads which will connect the Airport Road to the main gate of the African University of Science and Technology, Abuja, Nigeria and the proposed Bridge Housing Estate when constructed will foster broader community goals by promoting business activities within and around the University environment. Topographic data were taken, traffic count/ speed study and traffic volume forecast where carried out; minimum curve radii, curve lengths were calculated. The speed study for the Main Access Road gave the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed of $62.07 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$. the corresponding traffic volume forecast gave an average daily traffic (ADT) of 1,137 for the Internal Access Road, 4,466 for the Main Access Road and a speed of 40km/hr and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ respectively. Therefore design speeds of $40 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ and $60 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$ were adopted for the design. Minimum vertical curve length (Crest) calculated was 85 m for the Main Access Road and 55 m for the Internal Access Road. Minimum vertical curve length (sag) gave 140 m for the Main Access Road and $115 m$ for the Internal Access Road. The total earthwork volume for the two roads gave $7,832.8 m^{3}$ cut and $21,249.81 m^{3}$ fill. It therefore follows that the driving population affects road types and design speed, and design speed affects road curve lengths.
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### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Geometric design of roads is the branch of highway engineering concerned with the positioning of the physical elements of the roadway according to standards and constraints. Geometric roadway design can be broken into three main parts: horizontal alignment, vertical profile, and cross-section which combine to provide a three-dimensional layout for a roadway (Hameed, 2013). The basic objectives in geometric design are to optimize efficiency and safety while minimizing cost and environmental damage [Federal Highway Administration, 2012) and Wook K. et al. 2013]. Geometric design also affects an emerging objective called "livability," which is defined as designing roads to foster broader community goals, including providing access to employment, schools, businesses and residences, accommodate a range of travel modes such as walking, bicycling, transit, and automobiles, and minimizing fuel use, emissions and environmental damage [Federal Highway Administration (2012) and Hameed (2013)].
Road design may be dated back to the existence of highways but many engineers still find it difficult to design safe roads. Many Nigerian road projects are still being contracted to foreign consultants for design and construction. We still have roads that are highly discomforting to road users, roads that are prone to accidents as a result of bad geometric design; roads that are inconsistent with design standards, hence this project work.
The aim of the work is to produce a geometric design of Access Roads for housing Estate using traffic generated data and with the objectives to carry out a Traffic study (speed/ volume count) and using generated data to produce working drawings and compute the earthwork volumes for cost planning using the CivilCAD software.

### 2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data collected include alignment photograph, topographic data, traffic data, population information and soil samples.
Methods of information collection include taking of alignment photograph using a digital camera, use of surveying equipment and manual soil sampling.
Photographs taken include both land and Google map photographs along the route of the proposed roads for knowledge of the route vegetation, terrain, water courses, existing facilities and housing settlements. Topographic survey data (coordinates and spot heights) were taken along the proposed road alignment, 5 m beyond the corridor of the proposed road on both sides of the road center line using the Laica total station instrument. Soil samples were taken along the proposed roads alignment at 200m intervals and were transported to a laboratory for analysis to determine the material subgrade properties. Traffic speed /Volume study were carried out to determine the design speed.

Analysis and design were done using some of the most recent instruments and computer software in the engineering and construction industry; hence the reliability of this work.

### 3.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Topographic Data and Contour Map:

Topography data taken from the site along the proposed road alignment were used to produce the contour map that forms the basis for the geometric design using the CivilCAD software.

### 3.2 Design Speed and Volume Studies:

This is the speed determined for design and correlation of the physical features of a road that influence vehicle operations. According to the Federal Highway Administration, FHWA (2013). It is the safe maximum speed that can be maintained over a specified section of a road when conditions are so favourable that the design features of the road govern. It sets the limits for curvatures, sight distance and other geometric features. In sections where changes in terrain and other physical features are significant, a change in design speed may be necessary, according to Vehicle Speed Measurement on All Purpose Roads (1981). For the purpose of this design the traffic study and the traffic forecast methods were used to determine the design speed as shown in table 3.1 and table 3.2.

### 3.3 Traffic Volume Count:

A traffic study entails a count of vehicular or pedestrian traffic, which is conducted along a particular road, path, or intersection. A traffic count is commonly undertaken either automatically (with the installation of temporary or permanent electronic traffic recording device), or manually by observers who visually count and record traffic on a hand-held electronic device or tally sheets [Garber, N.J.; Hoel, L. A (2001) and FHWA (2013)]. Traffic counts can provide the source data used to calculate the Annual Average Daily Traffic (AADT). In (FHWA, 2013), traffic counts that include speeds are used for design speed in geometric design of similar functional roads.

In carrying out this traffic count, manual observers, count and record on a hand-held electronic device and tally sheets were used. The exercise which is primarily for the purpose of speed determination was conducted at the Access Road linking Stella Maris Schools, Godab Estate and ACO Estate, Life Camp in Kafe District Road.
3.3.1 Traffic Count Report: the traffic study was conducted using manual observers with digital watches who counted and recorded on a hand-held electronic devices and tally sheets.

The result of the study is recorded as shown in table 3.1.
Total number of vehicles counted $=153$ nos
Total Cars counted $=125$ nos, Total Trucks counted $=28 n o s$

Total number of vehicles sampled for speed determination ( n ) $=47$ nos
Average Speed ( $\mathrm{D} / \mathrm{t}$ ) $=54.10 \mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{hr}$ (from table 3.1). Where $\mathrm{D}=$ distance between the entry point and the exit point ( 0.5 km ), $\mathrm{t}=$ time taking to move from entry point to exit point (hours)

### 3.3.3 The 85th Percentile Speed:

The 85th percentile is often used to determine the speed limits for roads. It is the speed below which 85 percent cars travel on a test road, and is figured to be the highest safe speed for that road. Federal Highway Administration (2013).

To determine this, arrange the car speeds in order from slowest to fastest and find the $85^{\text {th }}$ speed.
The vehicle sitting at the $85^{\text {th }}$ position of the $47^{\text {th }}$ ordered speed $=\frac{85}{100} \mathrm{x} 47=40^{\text {th }}$ position.
So, the $85^{\text {th }}$ percentile speed is the speed corresponding to number 40 in table 1 which is $=$ $62.07 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{hr}$.

Table 1. Traffic count redort and 8th percentile determination
Count Location: Access Road linking Stella Maris Schools. Godab Estate and ACO Estate. Date of count: $22^{\text {nd }}$ April 2019. Time of counting: 8:00am to 10:15am

| CARS |  | , |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { (sec) } \end{aligned}$ | - |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count dist.(km | Entry <br> Time | Exit Time |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time }(\mathrm{hr})= \\ \mathrm{t} \text { sec/3600 } \end{gathered}$ | SPEED (km/hr) | Speed (km/hr) in Ascending order |
| 1 | 0.5 | 08:10:00 | 08:10:25 | 25 | 0.00694 | 72.00 | 34.62 |
| 2 | 0.5 | 08:15:00 | 08:15:26 | 26 | 0.00722 | 69.23 | 35.29 |
| 3 | 0.5 | 08:18:00 | 08:18:26 | 26 | 0.00722 | 69.23 | 36.00 |
| 4 | 0.5 | 08:22:00 | 08:22:32 | 32 | 0.00889 | 56.25 | 36.00 |
| 5 | 0.5 | 08:26:00 | 08:26:33 | 33 | 0.00917 | 54.55 | 38.30 |
| 6 | 0.5 | 08:30:00 | 08:30:31 | 31 | 0.00861 | 58.06 | 40.00 |
| 7 | 0.5 | 08:34:00 | 08:34:37 | 37 | 0.01028 | 48.65 | 40.72 |
| 8 | 0.5 | 08:38:00 | 08:38:41 | 41 | 0.01133 | 44.12 | 44.12 |
| 9 | 0.5 | 08:42:00 | 08:42:45 | 45 | 0.0125 | 40.00 | 44.12 |
| 10 | 0.5 | 08:46:00 | 08:46:47 | 47 | 0.01306 | 38.30 | 48.65 |
| 11 | 0.5 | 08:50:00 | 08:50:50 | 50 | 0.01389 | 36.00 | 50.00 |
| 12 | 0.5 | 08:54:00 | 08:54:52 | 52 | 0.01444 | 34.62 | 50.00 |
| 13 | 0.5 | 08:58:00 | 08:58:50 | 50 | 0.01389 | 36.00 | 50.00 |
| 14 | 0.5 | 09:02:00 | 09:02:51 | 51 | 0.01417 | 35.29 | 52.94 |
| 15 | 0.5 | 09:06:00 | 09:06:41 | 41 | 0.01133 | 44.12 | 52.94 |
| 16 | 0.5 | 09:10:00 | 09:10:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 52.94 |
| 17 | 0.5 | 09:14:00 | 09:14:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 52.94 |
| 18 | 0.5 | 09:18:00 | 09:18:44 | 44 | 0.01228 | 40.72 | 52.94 |
| 19 | 0.5 | 09:22:00 | 09:22:29 | 29 | 0.00806 | 62.07 | 52.94 |
| 20 | 0.5 | 09:10:00 | 09:10:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 52.94 |
| 21 | 0.5 | 09:12:00 | 09:12:32 | 32 | 0.00889 | 56.25 | 52.94 |
| 22 | 0.5 | 09:14:00 | 09:14:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 52.94 |
| 23 | 0.5 | 09:16:00 | 09:16:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 52.94 |
| 24 | 0.5 | 09:18:00 | 09:18:30 | 30 | 0.00833 | 60.00 | 52.94 |
| 25 | 0.5 | 09:20:00 | 09:20:33 | 33 | 0.00917 | 54.55 | 54.55 |
| 26 | 0.5 | 09:22:00 | 09:22:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 54.55 |
| 27 | 0.5 | 09:24:00 | 09:24:36 | 36 | 0.01100 | 50.00 | 54.55 |
| 28 | 0.5 | 09:26:00 | 09:26:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 54.55 |
| 29 | 0.5 | 09:28:00 | 09:28:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 54.55 |
| 30 | 0.5 | 09:30:00 | 09:30:34 | 33 | 0.00917 | 54.55 | 56.25 |
| 31 | 0.5 | 09:32:00 | 09:32:34 | 31 | 0.00861 | 58.06 | 56.25 |
| 32 | 0.5 | 09:34:00 | 09:34:34 | 32 | 0.00889 | 56.25 | 56.25 |
| 33 | 0.5 | 09:36:00 | 09:36:34 | 33 | 0.00917 | 54.55 | 56.25 |
| 34 | 0.5 | 09:38:00 | 09:38:34 | 34 | 0.00944 | 52.94 | 56.25 |
| 35 | 0.5 | 09:40:00 | 09:40:34 | 36 | 0.0120 | 50.00 | 58.06 |
| 36 | 0.5 | 09:48:00 | 09:48:27 | 32 | 0.00889 | 56.25 | 58.06 |
| 37 | 0.5 | 09:50:00 | 09:50:24 | 31 | 0.00861 | 58.06 | 60.00 |


| 38 | 0.5 | $09: 52: 00$ | $09: 52: 27$ | 33 | 0.00917 | 54.55 | 60.00 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 39 | 0.5 | $09: 50: 00$ | $09: 50: 24$ | 31 | 0.00861 | 56.25 | 60.00 |
| 40 | 0.5 | $09: 52: 00$ | $09: 52: 27$ | 33 | 0.00917 | $\mathbf{6 0 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{6 2 . 0 7}\left(85^{\text {th }}\right.$ |
| 41 | 0.5 | $09: 55: 00$ | $09: 55: 34$ | 32 | 0.00889 | 58.06 | 64.29 |
| 42 | 0.5 | $09: 57: 00$ | $09: 57: 27$ | 30 | 0.00833 | 60.00 | 66.18 |
| 43 | 0.5 | $09: 58: 00$ | $09: 58: 27$ | 31 | 0.00861 | 58.06 | 69.23 |
| 44 | 0.5 | $10: 00: 00$ | $10: 00: 31$ | 30 | 0.00833 | 72.00 | 69.23 |
| 45 | 0.5 | $10: 02: 00$ | $10: 02: 27$ | 31 | 0.00861 | 64.29 | 72.00 |
| 46 | 0.5 | $10: 06: 00$ | $10: 06: 31$ | 25 | 0.00694 | 66.18 | 72.00 |
| 47 | 0.5 | $10: 07: 00$ | $10: 07: 31$ | 28 | 0.00778 | 75.63 | 75.63 |
|  | Average speed |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: field work: 2019

### 3.4 Traffic Volume Forecasts:

This method makes use of the estimated projected population (Road users) and motorisation to calculate road width and design speed. The area under study is comprised of two zones; zone ' A ' is a residential area (the estate) and zone ' B ' is the academic area. This method applies table2 below, which forecast the Average Daily Traffic (ADT) from human population in the housing estate, assuming $70 \%$ of the population to own cars while number of trips per person per day is 1.8 . (FCDA, 1981) and Sofretu, 2000). The building units and population are taken from the estate planner (TPL. Olusegun, S.O).

Table 2. Population/Traffic computation Table

| Zones/ <br> Land Use | Building Type | Building Units | Persons/ building | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Populati } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | Motorizatio <br> n (70\% <br> Max) | Trips/ <br> Person/ <br> Day (1.8) | Average Daily Traffic (ADT) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Zone A | 5-Bedroom Terrace | 28 | 7 | 196 | 137.2 | 246.96 | 247 |
| Residential | 4-Bedroom Terrace | 22 | 6 | 132 | 92.4 | 166.32 | 166 |
|  | 3-Bedroom Terrace | 32 | 5 | 160 | 112 | 201.6 | 202 |
|  | 2-Bedroom Terrace | 34 | 5 | 170 | 119 | 214.2 | 214 |
|  | 4-Bedroom Duplex | 8 | 6 | 48 | 33.6 | 60.48 | 61 |
|  | 3-Bedroom Duplex | 12 | 6 | 72 | 50.4 | 90.72 | 91 |
|  | Visitors (5\% total pop.) |  |  | 44.5 | 31.15 | 56.07 | 56 |
|  |  |  |  | 822.5 |  |  | 1,137.00 |
| Zone B | Offices- Single | 21 | 24 | 504 | 353 | 636 | 635 |
| Academic | Offices-General | 15 | 60 | 900 | 630 | 1,134.00 | 1,134.00 |
|  | Social Arenas | 5 | 45 | 225 | 157.5 | 283.5 | 284 |
|  | Classrooms | 23 | 36 | 828 | 579.6 | 1,043.30 | 1,044.00 |
|  | Library | 2 | 26 | 52 | 36.4 | 65.52 | 66 |
|  | Services/ Business Total Population) | ips (5\% of |  | 122.5 | 85.75 .5 | 154.35 | 166 |
| TOTAL- Zone B |  |  |  | 2,326.50 |  |  | 3,329.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  | 3,201.00 |  |  | 4,466.00 |

Source: university/Estate developer
Table 3. Traffic Forecast summary table and lane capacity calculation

| SN | Roads | Average Daily <br> Traffic (ADT) | Lane capacity (PCU'S/HR) $=$ <br> $($ ADT/8hrs) |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Main Access Road | 4,466 |  |
| 2 | Internal Access | 1,137 | 559 |

Source: Calculated
The average daily traffic (ADT) is computed as in table 2 above while the lane capacity (passenger car unit per hour) is calculated by dividing the average daily traffic (ADT) by the active daily traffic hours (8hours) in Pcu/hr in table 3. The calculated ADT and PCU in table3 are used to select the design data from table 4.

### 4.0 GEOMETRIC DESIGN

### 4.1 Lane width and Cross Section Design:

Current AASHTO Width Criteria Width-related elements of rural highway include roadway features (lanes and shoulders) as well as roadside features (fore slopes, ditches, back slopes). Federal Capital Development Authority, FCDA (1981) and Transport and Road research Laboratory (1988). Table 4. below presents the road width, maximum gradient and maximum design speed for the various ranges of average daily traffic flow, terrain and road functions. Main Access Road will be two lanes of 3.65 m width each and a 3.0 m parking lane, while the Internal Access Road will be two lanes of 3.0 m width each.

Table 4. Guidelines for Design of Infrastructural Services, FCDA, January 1981.

| ROAD CLASSIFICATION |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DESCRIPTION | ARTERIAL <br> ROADS | COLLECTOR <br> ROADS | IMPORTANT <br> STREET ROADS | LOCAL <br> STREET <br> ROADS | MINOR STREET <br> ROADS |
| Design Speed (Km/hr) | 80 | $\mathbf{6 0}$ | 50 | 50 | $\mathbf{4 0}$ |
| Lane Capacity (Pcu's/hr) | 700 | $\mathbf{6 0 0}$ | 500 | 400 | $<\mathbf{4 0 0}$ |
| Through Lanes (both <br> direction) (Nos) | 6 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Lane Width (M) | 3.5 | $\mathbf{3 . 6 5}$ | 3.65 | 3.65 | $\mathbf{3}$ |
| Parking lane width (m) | 3 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 2.5 |  |  |
| Shoulder width (m) | 6 | $\mathbf{3}$ |  | 2.5 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Median width (m) | 2.5 | $\mathbf{2}$ | 2 | 2 | $\mathbf{2}$ |
| Verge/Plant Bed (m) <br> Bicycle track width (m) | 2.5 | $\mathbf{3}$ |  |  |  |
| Road cross-fall (Camber) $\%$ | 2.5 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ | 2.5 | 2.5 | $\mathbf{2 . 5}$ |
| Sidewalk width (m) <br> Vertical clearance <br> (AASHTO) (m) | 2.5 | $\mathbf{3}$ | 3 |  |  |
| Horizontal curve radius- <br> minimum (m) | 229 | $\mathbf{5}$ | 5 | 5 | $\mathbf{5}$ |


| Vertical curve K Value <br> (=L/A) Min crest | 26 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 7 | 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Vertical curve K Value <br> (=L/A) Min SAG | 30 | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 13 | 13 | $\mathbf{1 3}$ |
| Maximum grade (\%) | 7 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 9 | 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ |
| Upgrade (minimum) \% | 0.35 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ | 0.35 | 0.35 | $\mathbf{0 . 3 5}$ |
| Grade difference (A) (\%) | 6.65 | $\mathbf{7 . 6 5}$ | 8.65 | 8.65 | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Super-elevation rate- <br> Maximum (\%) | 8 | $\mathbf{8}$ | 8 | 8 | $\mathbf{8}$ |

Source: Federal capital development Authority, Nigeria

### 4.2 Horizontal Alignment Design:

The tables below are vital in calculating the horizontal alignment curve data
Table 5. AASHTO Coefficient of lateral friction. AASHTO (1984).

| Design speed (Km/hr) | 50 | 65 | 80 | 100 | 110 | 120 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Max. lateral friction (f) | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.09 |

### 4.2.1 Minimum Horizontal Curve Radius:

The horizontal curves calculated below will be the minimum value of radius at which a vehicle moving on the main access road will travel to avoid discomfort to the motorist,

Using the formula, $\mathrm{R}=$

$$
\frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{127(\mathrm{e}+\mathrm{f})}
$$

Where $\mathrm{R}=$ horizontal curve radius, $\mathrm{V}=$ Design speed ( $\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{hr}$ )
$\mathrm{e}=$ Super-elevation rate, $\mathrm{f}=$ coefficient of lateral friction

### 4.2.2 Main Access Road:

So, for the Main Access road $\mathrm{R}=\frac{60^{2}}{127(0.08+0.15)}=\frac{3,600}{127(0.23)}=3,600 / 29.21=123.25 \mathrm{~m}$
A radius of 125 m minimum should be used for the Main Access Road

### 4.2.3 Internal Access Road:

Also, for the Internal Access Road $\mathrm{R}=\frac{40^{2}}{127(0.08+0.16)}=\frac{1,600}{127(0.24)}=1,600 / 30.48=52.9 \mathrm{~m}$
A radius of 73m should be adopted being the minimum specified in table 4.

### 4.3 Super-elevation:

Garber, N.J. and Hoel, L. A. (2001) defines superelevation as the banking provided on roads to resist the centripetal force on the vehicles while travelling along the curves. This can be calculated by;

$$
\mathrm{S}=\frac{\mathrm{v}^{2}}{2.824 \mathrm{R}}
$$

Where $\mathrm{V}=$ Design speed ( $\mathrm{Km} / \mathrm{hr}$ ) and $\mathrm{R}=$ Curve radius (m)

### 4.3.1 Main Access Road:

Maximum Super-elevation for the Main access road
$\mathrm{S}=$ $\qquad$
= 3600/423.6 = 8.5\%

From Table 4. the maximum allowed is $8 \%$, so super-elevation of $7 \%$ will be adopted for this design.

### 4.3.2 Internal Access Road:

Maximum Super-elevation for the Internal Access Road
$S=\frac{40^{2}}{2.824^{*} 73} \quad=1600 / 207.32=7.7 \%$

From Table 4. the maximum allowed is $8 \%$, so super-elevation of $6 \%$ will be adopted for this design.

### 4.4 Vertical alignment design data:

Two types of vertical curves exist: (1) Sag Curves and (2) Crest Curves. Sag curves are used where the change in grade is positive, such as valleys, while crest curves are used when the change in grade is negative, such as hills. Garber, N.J. and Hoel, L. A. (2001). Both types of curves have three defined points: PVC (Point of Vertical Curvature), PVI (Point of Vertical Intersection), and PVT (Point of Vertical Tangency). PVC is the start point of the curve while the PVT is the end point of the curve.

### 4.4.1 Crest Vertical Curve Data:



Fig 1. A Typical Crest Vertical Curve (Profile View)
Where $\mathrm{L}=$ curve length ( m ), $\mathrm{A}=$ algebraic grade difference (\%)
$\mathrm{S}=$ sight distance for passing or stopping, $\mathrm{H}_{1}=$ height of drivers eye above the road
$\mathrm{H}_{2}=$ height of object above the roadway

### 4.4.2 Crest curve length -Main Access Road:

To calculate the minimum crest curve length, the formula $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{KA}$ is used
Where L= curve length
$\mathrm{K}=11$ (from table 4.1), $\mathrm{A}=7.65$ (from table 4)
So, $\mathrm{L}=11 * 7.65=84.15 \mathrm{~m}$,
Minimum crest curve length of 85 m will be used for the design of the main access road.

### 4.4.3 Crest curve length -Internal Access Road:

To calculate the minimum crest curve length, the formula $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{KA}$ is used
Where L= curve length
$\mathrm{K}=7$ (from table 4), $\mathrm{A}=7.65$ (from table 4)
So, $\mathrm{L}=7 * 7.65=53.6 \mathrm{~m}$,
Minimum crest curve length of 55 m will be used for the design of the internal access road.

### 4.4.4 Sag Vertical Curve Data:



Fig 2. A Typical sag Vertical Curve (Profile View)
When sight distances that is greater than the curve length; S> L

Where $\mathrm{L}=$ curve length ( m ), $\mathrm{A}=$ algebraic grade difference (\%)
$\mathrm{S}=$ sight distance for passing of stopping, $\mathrm{H}=$ head light height above roadway
$\beta=$ upward divergence of headlight beam/ degree

### 4.4.5 Sag curve length -Main Access Road:

To calculate the minimum crest curve length, the formula $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{KA}$ is used
Where $\mathrm{L}=$ curve length, $\mathrm{K}=18$ (from table 4),
A= 7.65 (from table 4.), $\mathrm{So}, \mathrm{L}=18 * 7.65=137.7 \mathrm{~m}$,
Minimum sag curve length of 140 m will be used for the design of the main access road.

### 4.4.6 Sag curve length -Internal Access Road:

To calculate the minimum crest curve length, the formula $\mathrm{L}=\mathrm{KA}$ is used
Where $\mathrm{L}=$ curve length, $\mathrm{K}=13$ (from table 4)
$\mathrm{A}=8.65$ (from table 4 ), So, $\mathrm{L}=13 * 8.65=112.45 \mathrm{~m}$,
Minimum sag curve length of 115 m will be used for the design of the internal access road.

### 4.4.7 Summary of designed data:

Table 5 below summarises the generated data, results of all design and standard specifications with which the software produced the working drawings.

Table 5. summary of design parameters (Calculated, generated and standard specifications)

| Description | Road Information/ Design Figures |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Road name | Major Access Road | Internal Access Road |
| Road classification | Collector roads | Important street roads |
| Traffic flow (ADT) | 4,466 | 1,137 |
| Design Speed (Km/hr) | 60 | 40 |
| Lane Capacity (Pcu's/hr) | 600 | 400 |
| Through Lanes (both direction) (Nos) | 2 | 2 |
| Lane Width (m) | 3.65 | 3 |
| Parking lane (m) | 3.0 | - |
| Sidewalk width (m) | 1.5 | 2 |
| Verge (m) | 2 | 1.5 |
| Road cross-fall (Camber) \% | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Vertical clearance (AASHTO) (m) | 5 | 5 |
| Horizontal curve radius- min. (m) | 150 | 75 |
| Vertical curve K Value (=L/A) Min | 11 | 7 |
| crest | 18 | 13 |
| Vertical curve K Value (=L/A) Min |  |  |


| Maximum grade (\%) | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Upgrade (min.) \% | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Grade difference (A) (\%) | 7.65 | 8.65 |
| Vertical curve length- crest min. (m) | 85 | 55 |
| Vertical curve length- sag min. (m) | 140 | 115 |
| Super-elevation rate- Max (\%) | 7 | 6 |

## Source: Generated Data, 2019

The drawings so produced include the General Layout with all the horizontal alignment information shown in fig. 3, the Vertical Alignment Profiles in fig. 4 and 5, And the typical cross sections elements in fig. 6.

### 4.5 DESIGNED DRAWINGS



Fig. 3. General Site Layout


Fig. 4. LONGITUDINAL PROFILES- Main Access road


Fig. 5. longitudinal profile -Internal Access Road


Fig. 6. Typical road cross section- Main Access Road and Minor Access Road

### 4.6 Earthwork Volume

Below shows the summary of the earthworks volumes as computed with the software.

## Table 6. Earthwork Volume Summary

| Road | Quantities |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INT. ACCESS RD | Cut volume (m3) | Fill volume (m3) |
|  | $3,487.31$ | $3,686.75$ |
| MAIN ACCESS RD | Cut vol. (m3) | Fill vol. (m3) |
|  | $4,345.49$ | $17,563.06$ |
|  | $\mathbf{7 , 8 3 2 . 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 , 2 4 9 . 8 1}$ |

Source: Generated volume, 2019
4.7 Interpolation/Curves data Informations (for setting out)

The figures in table 7 and 7 b below presents the software generated design information for beginning chainage, end chainage and at all curves

Table 7. Internal Access Road - Center Line data

| Station | Geometric data | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2IP0 St. 0.000 } \\ & \text { 2IP0..2IP1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}=381.687$ az = 311_58'38" | 326479.677 | 995532.976 |
| 2IP1 <br> TG St. 328.346 <br> TG St. 430.844 | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}=102.498 & \mathrm{R}=150.00<--- \\ \mathrm{T}=53.341 & \mathrm{a}=39 \_9^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 326195.926 \\ & 326235.580 \\ & 326142.650 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 995788.261 \\ & 995752.585 \\ & 995790.891 \end{aligned}$ |
| 2IP1..2IP2 | $\mathrm{D}=174.472$ az = 272_49'33" |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|} \hline \text { 2IP2 } \\ \text { TG } & \text { St. } 468.629 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}=152.150 & \mathrm{R}=150.00<--- \\ \mathrm{T}=83.347 & \mathrm{a}=58 \_\mathbf{7}^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 326021.666 \\ & 326104.911 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 995796.862 \\ & 995792.753 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TG } \\ \text { St. } 620.779 \\ \text { 2IP2..2IP3 } \end{array}$ | D = 282.115 az = 214_42'32" | 325974.208 | 995728.346 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 2IP3 } \\ & \text { TG } \quad \text { St. } 806.254 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}=26.549 & \mathrm{R}=200.00--> \\ \mathrm{T}=13.294 & \mathrm{a}=7 \_36^{\prime} 21^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325861.028 \\ & 325868.598 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 995564.947 \\ & 995575.875 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TG } \\ & \text { St. } 832.803 \\ & \text { 2IP3..2IP4 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}=164.954$ az = 222_18'53" | 325852.078 | 995555.117 |
| 2IP4 St. 984.463 |  | 325749.981 | 995442.970 |

Table 7b. Internal Access Road - Center Line data

| Station | Geometric data | X | Y |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6IP0 St. } 0.000 \\ & \text { 6IP0..6IP1 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}=117.229$ az = 132_25'57' | 325860.701 | 995565.245 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { TG St. } 154.284 \\ & \text { 6IP1..6IP2 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}=124.155 \quad \text { az = 196_47'42" }$ | 325933.588 | 995440.965 |
| $\begin{array}{\|ll} \hline \text { 6IP2 } & \\ \text { TG } & \text { St. } 178.629 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}=91.761 & \mathrm{R}=75.00<--- \\ \mathrm{T}=52.614 & \mathrm{a}=70 \_6 \mathbf{l}^{\prime \prime} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 325911.351 \\ & 325926.553 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 995367.289 \\ & 995417.658 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { TG St. } 270.391 \\ & \text { 6IP2..6IP3 } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{D}=128.216$ az = 126_41'40' | 325953.538 | 995335.850 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 6IP3 } \\ & \text { TG St. } 289.233 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{L}=97.176 & \mathrm{R}=75.00<--- \\ \mathrm{T}=56.760 & \mathrm{a}=74 \_14^{\prime} 13^{\prime \prime} \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 326014.159 \\ & 325968.647 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 995290.674 \\ & 995324.591 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { TG St. } 386.409 \\ \text { 6IP3..6IP4 } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | D = 233.946 az = 52_27'27" | 326059.164 | 995325.261 |
| 6IP4 St. 563.595 |  | 326199.655 | 995433.229 |

Note: $\mathrm{D}=$ distance, $\mathrm{L}=$ Tangent length, $\mathrm{R}=$ radius, $\mathrm{az}=$ bearing and $\mathrm{a}=$ angle 5.0 CONCLUSION

In conclusion, for traffic safety, comfort and economy the expected driving population on a road must be correlated with the road type (road width and number of lanes) and the design speed. The design also shows that the higher the driving population, the higher the average daily traffic (ADT), hence the lane properties. Higher design speed also require larger curve radii (for both horizontal and vertical curves) for driving safety. And for every increase of average daily traffic (ADT) by 1,500 the roads type (road width and road lanes properties) changes.
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